

Can AI Replace Your Mortgage Broker?

How to build an AI-assisted home loan strategy, where it can go wrong, and why trusted human judgment remains the advice layer that matters.

By Ash Playsted | Principal Adviser, AP Advisory | August 2026

AI can make mortgage advice faster, broader and more informed. It cannot make the consequences less human.

Source note. This article was prompted by James Gerrard's 27 August 2026 article in The Australian, "How to get a financial plan using AI - but beware of the dangers." It applies the article's underlying question and prompt-led structure to Australian mortgage broking, with original analysis, examples and conclusions.

The question has now reached mortgage broking

Artificial intelligence is moving quickly from novelty to operating infrastructure across professional services. Mortgage broking will be no exception. Brokers are already using AI to summarize lender policy, prepare client communications, structure discovery notes, compare scenarios, draft credit narratives and accelerate administrative work that once consumed hours.

That creates an uncomfortable but useful question for the industry: if a consumer can ask an AI model to compare loans, calculate repayments, explain offset accounts, model fixed versus variable structures and even produce a step-by-step borrowing plan, why should they still use a mortgage broker?

The easy response is to say that AI cannot replace a broker. That answer is comforting, but incomplete. AI will absolutely replace parts of what brokers do. It will compress information gathering. It will make basic product education almost free. It will make simple comparisons easier. It will make a competent borrower far better prepared before speaking with anyone.

The more important question is what remains valuable after information becomes abundant. In mortgage advice, I believe the answer is judgment, context, advocacy, accountability and trust. Those qualities become more valuable, not less, as the technology improves.

What a borrower can already ask AI to do

Most people use AI poorly because they start with a single broad question: "What is the best home loan?" or "Should I fix my mortgage?" Predictably, the answer is generic. It lists the usual advantages and disadvantages, adds several caveats and finishes by telling the borrower to seek professional advice.

The better approach is to make the AI conduct the discovery. Instead of asking it for an answer immediately, instruct it to gather enough context to understand the borrower, the property, the transaction, the cash flow, the risks and the objective. The quality of the output improves materially when the quality of the input improves.

Prompt 1: Make the AI do the fact-find

Act as an experienced Australian mortgage broker. I want you to help me develop a home lending strategy, but do not recommend a loan yet. First take me through a rigorous mortgage-broking discovery process. Ask enough questions to understand my goals, income, employment, expenses, assets, liabilities, deposit or equity position, credit history, property plans, timing, risk tolerance, likely future changes and preferences. Identify any missing information and do not make assumptions without clearly labelling them. Once you have sufficient context, summarize my borrowing position, key constraints, risks and the decisions that need to be made.

Used properly, that prompt can produce a surprisingly disciplined information-gathering process. A capable model may ask about base income, overtime, bonuses, commissions, self-employed trading history, existing debts, HELP liabilities, dependants, living expenses, available cash, genuine savings, equity, intended property use, anticipated parental leave, job changes and the borrower's preferred repayment buffer.

For some consumers, that alone is valuable. It forces them to organize their financial position before they begin chasing rates.

Prompt 2: Define the mortgage advice journey

Use the following mortgage advice journey as your framework:

1. Personal circumstances, property objective and desired outcome.
2. Income, employment and serviceability profile.
3. Assets, liabilities, living expenses and cash flow.
4. Deposit, equity, loan-to-value ratio and available buffers.
5. Credit history and any policy-sensitive issues.
6. Loan purpose, property type, location and intended ownership structure.
7. Loan structure, including principal and interest versus interest only, fixed versus variable, offset, redraw and split-loan options.
8. Lender policy considerations and likely servicing constraints.
9. Upfront and ongoing pricing, fees and total cost.
10. Risk scenarios, including rate increases, income interruption and foreseeable life changes.
11. Refinance or future borrowing implications.
12. Shortlist of realistic strategy alternatives with trade-offs.
13. Questions that require confirmation directly from a lender, broker, accountant, lawyer or other professional.
14. Implementation checklist and document requirements.

Do not present any lender or product recommendation as verified unless the information is current and confirmed.

At this point the AI has moved beyond a rate-comparison tool. It is beginning to resemble a structured mortgage strategy engine. It can help a borrower understand the dimensions of the decision and compare alternative structures before an application is submitted.

That does not mean the answer is right. It means the borrower is better equipped to ask better questions.

Prompt 3: Force the strategy back to the borrower's real objective

Review the mortgage strategy you have produced. Rework it so that every major recommendation or option is explicitly connected to my stated objectives, priorities and constraints. Identify anything that appears technically attractive but is inconsistent with what I am actually trying to achieve. Separate essential decisions from optional optimizations.

This matters because mortgage decisions are not simply mathematical. The cheapest theoretical structure can be the wrong structure for the person. A borrower planning to start a family, sell a business, renovate, move interstate, shift to self-employment or acquire an investment property may value flexibility very differently from someone with stable salaried income and no expected change in circumstances.

Prompt 4: Ask a rival broker to attack the plan

Now act as a highly experienced competing mortgage broker reviewing this strategy before I proceed. Assume your job is to find weaknesses, hidden assumptions, lender-policy risks, cash-flow risks, structural mistakes and missing questions. Stress-test the plan and explain what could cause the proposed strategy or application to fail. Then show me what information should be independently verified before I act.

This final step is useful because good advice is rarely produced by asking only, "What should I do?" It is improved by asking, "What could make this wrong?" AI is particularly useful as a challenger when it is instructed to search for omissions rather than merely validate the first answer.

So, could a borrower actually do this without a broker?

For a financially literate borrower with straightforward income, a strong deposit, clean credit history and a simple transaction, AI can now do a meaningful amount of preparatory work. It can explain terminology, model repayments, compare broad structural choices and generate a sophisticated list of questions. That is a net positive for consumers.

It also changes the competitive standard for brokers. A broker whose value proposition is little more than "I know the lenders and can compare rates" is increasingly exposed. Information access is being commoditized. The consumer will expect more.

But a mortgage is not an essay. It is an execution process inside a constantly moving credit system. That is where the limits of DIY AI become much more consequential.

Where AI mortgage advice can fail

1. You may be handing over highly sensitive financial information

A serious mortgage fact-find can include income, employer details, assets, liabilities, savings, dependants, credit issues and information about future plans. That is some of the most sensitive data a household possesses. Consumers need to understand the privacy, retention and data-use policies of any AI service they use. Brokers using AI also need robust governance around what client information is entered into external systems and under what controls.

2. Lender policy changes faster than most static knowledge

Mortgage lending is full of policy detail that can change quickly: servicing buffers, acceptable income types, shading of overtime or bonuses, treatment of self-employed income, postcode restrictions, property types, debt-to-income limits, interest-only rules, exceptions, cashback or pricing campaigns and credit appetite. An AI answer can sound precise while relying on stale, incomplete or generalized information.

This is one of the most dangerous characteristics of generative AI in lending. A wrong answer is often not presented with the uncertainty a human expert would attach to it. It can be fluent, organized and wrong at the same time.

3. Serviceability is not the same thing as bankability

A calculator can tell you that the numbers appear to fit. Experienced brokers know that an application can still fail because the story does not fit the lender. Income may be technically acceptable but poorly evidenced. A property may trigger a credit concern. An employment change may make timing critical. A seemingly minor transaction detail may determine which lender should be approached first.

The best brokers do not simply calculate borrowing capacity. They interpret the borrower through the lens of lender policy, credit appetite, documentation, sequencing and likely human credit assessment. That lived pattern recognition is difficult to reduce to a generic prompt.

4. AI often optimizes what is easiest to quantify

Rates, fees and repayments are measurable. Optionality is harder. So are execution certainty, turnaround time, policy tolerance, future refinancing flexibility, the value of preserving borrowing capacity, and the cost of choosing a lender that is cheap today but strategically restrictive tomorrow.

A model may rank products elegantly while missing the reason a particular borrower should avoid the top-ranked option. Mortgage strategy often turns on the second-order consequences, not the headline rate.

5. AI cannot negotiate, escalate or advocate

A strong broker does more than select a product. Brokers package the case, frame the credit story, anticipate objections, choose sequencing, manage documentation, engage business development managers, escalate issues, negotiate pricing and keep a transaction moving when something unexpected happens.

That execution layer becomes particularly valuable when the application is not vanilla. A self-employed borrower, complex entity structure, multiple income streams, unusual property, tight settlement, recent job change or significant investment portfolio can turn a seemingly simple loan into a judgment-heavy exercise.

6. AI has no relationship with the borrower when life changes

A mortgage decision is rarely isolated. It sits inside a life. Careers change. Children arrive. Businesses are bought or sold. Relationships change. Properties are upgraded. Investment opportunities appear. Interest rates move. The right loan structure today can become the wrong one in three years.

The human adviser's real advantage is continuity of context. A trusted broker can remember why a decision was made, understand what has changed and help the client adapt without having to rediscover the entire story from zero.

7. Accountability still matters

Australian mortgage brokers operate within a regulated credit framework. ASIC's mortgage broker best-interests duty requires brokers to act in the consumer's best interests when providing credit assistance and to prioritize the consumer's interests where conflicts arise. ASIC's guidance also points to the consumer's

objectives, financial situation, preferences, foreseeable changes and available products as relevant considerations.

An AI system does not carry that duty. It has no professional indemnity policy, no credit licence, no accountable manager, no complaints process and no personal reputation at stake. If a borrower acts on a poor AI-generated strategy, the model does not sit across the table and own the consequences.

The real opportunity is not AI versus broker

The most productive framing for the mortgage industry is not “human or machine.” It is “machine intelligence plus human judgment.”

AI should remove low-value friction. It should make discovery more complete, scenario analysis faster, communications clearer and preparation more rigorous. It should allow a good broker to spend less time moving information around and more time interpreting it. In the hands of an experienced practitioner, AI can materially improve the advice process.

But the broker still has to know what to ask, what not to trust, what to verify, which trade-offs matter and when the apparently obvious answer is not the right answer. This is the same pattern we are seeing across law, accounting, wealth advice and other professional services. Technology compresses the information layer. It does not eliminate the judgment layer.

What becomes cheaper, and what becomes more valuable

AI increasingly commoditizes	Human expertise becomes more valuable
Basic product education	Interpreting which trade-offs actually matter
Repayment calculations	Judgment under uncertainty
Generic lender comparisons	Policy nuance and lender selection
Document and question checklists	Application strategy and sequencing
Scenario generation	Knowing which scenarios are realistic
Draft communications	Negotiation, escalation and advocacy
Information retrieval	Trust, continuity and accountability

The broker of the future will need to be more human, not less

This may sound paradoxical, but the better AI becomes, the less defensible it will be for a broker to build their identity around access to information. Consumers will be able to arrive with lender research, repayment comparisons, policy questions and an AI-generated view of their options already in hand.

The differentiator will be whether the broker can see what the consumer cannot see. Can they identify the hidden constraint? Challenge an assumption? Recognize a policy issue before the application is lodged? Explain why a cheaper option is strategically inferior? Connect today’s loan to tomorrow’s plans? Pick up the phone and solve a problem when the automated process breaks?

Most importantly, can the client trust them?

Trust is not a sentimental add-on to advice. It is an economic asset. A mortgage is one of the largest financial commitments most people will ever make. The consumer is not only buying access to a product. They are buying confidence that someone competent understands the whole situation, will tell them when they are wrong, will protect their interests and will still be there when the transaction becomes difficult.

That is why I do not believe AI will make the best mortgage brokers irrelevant. I believe it will expose the brokers whose value was already too shallow, while making experienced, trusted advisers more productive and ultimately more valuable.

The future of mortgage advice is not human versus AI. It is AI doing more of the information work so trusted humans can do more of the judgment work.

A practical rule for borrowers

Use AI to become a better-informed client. Use it to organize your position, understand terminology, test scenarios and sharpen the questions you ask. But before you commit to a lender or structure, verify the critical assumptions with an experienced mortgage professional who is accountable for the advice process and can work with the live realities of lender policy and credit execution.

And for brokers, the message is equally clear: do not compete with AI on information retrieval. Use it. Then build your value proposition around what the machine cannot reliably provide on its own: judgment, context, strategy, advocacy, accountability and trust.

Source and regulatory references

Source inspiration: [James Gerrard, “How to get a financial plan using AI - but beware of the dangers,” The Australian, 27 August 2026.](#)

Regulatory context: [ASIC Regulatory Guide 273, Mortgage brokers: Best interests duty.](#)

Important: The AI prompts in this article are for education and preparation. They are not a substitute for regulated credit assistance, legal advice, tax advice or financial product advice. Any lender policy, eligibility, pricing or borrowing assessment should be independently verified before action.